

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/468,249	12/10/1999	MIKE CHANG	M-7970-US	3399
24251	7590 12/04/2001			
SKJERVEN MORRILL MACPHERSON LLP 25 METRO DRIVE SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			RAO, SHRINIVAS H	
SAN JOSE, C	SAN JOSE, CA 95110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assists Commence	09/468,249	CHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven H. Rao	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 S	eptember 2001					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/468,249

Art Unit: 2814

Response to Amendment

Applicants' amendment filed September 20, 2001 has been entered on September 27, 2001. Therefore claim 1 as amended by the amendment and claims 2-8 as originally recited are currently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent publication No. 9-64421 (herein after Yamada-1) as previously applied, and further in view of Japanese Patent publication No. 6-177429 (herein after Yamada-11)newly applied.

With respect to claim 1, Yamada –1 as stated in the Office action mailed June 20, 2001 describes the previously recited elements and incorporated here by reference.

Yamada-1 does not specifically describe the newly added limitation namely the first side of the die facing in a direction toward the inside of the cup.

However, Yamada-11 in fig. 1 and the English language abstract describes metal electrodes 16 and 18 formed within cup part 30 and wherein a first side of the die is facing in a direction toward the inside of the cup to obtain a blue color Led device with scalar integration.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Yamada-1 and Yamada-11 i.e. substitute the metal electrodes of Yamada-11 in place of the electrodes of Yamada-1 to obtain a

Application/Control Number: 09/468,249

Art Unit: 2814

blue color Led device with scalar integration (Yamda-11 –English Abstract (Purpose) lines 1-4).

Claims 2-8 were alleged to be allowable because they depend from allegedly allowable claim 1.

However as shown above claim 1 is not allowable therefore claims 2-8 are also rejected for reasons previously stated (O/A mailed June 20, 2001) and those set out above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new-ground(s)-of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/468,249

Art Unit: 2814

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Steven H. Rao at telephone number 703-306-5945.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 703-306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

11/27/01

OLIK CHAUDHURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800